



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/316,897

05/20/1999

ANAND RAMAKRISHNA

111399.01

8450

22971 7590 04/11/2008

MICROSOFT CORPORATION  
ONE MICROSOFT WAY  
REDMOND, WA 98052-6399

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, MAIKHANH

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2176

NOTIFICATION DATE DELIVERY MODE

04/11/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

roks@microsoft.com  
ntovar@microsoft.com  
a-rydore@microsoft.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/316,897	<b>Applicant(s)</b> RAMAKRISHNA, ANAND	
	<b>Examiner</b> Maikhanh Nguyen	<b>Art Unit</b> 2176	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Maikhanh Nguyen (USPTO). (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Sung T. Kim (Applicant's representative). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 03 April 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 17, and 30.

Identification of prior art discussed: Microsoft Corporation, "Dynamic HTML: The Next Generation of User Interface Design Using HTML".

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed the difference between the cited art and the claim invention. Specifically, Applicant argued that the reference does not teach the claimed "code is not included in the document". The Examiner will consider Applicant's arguments when a formal response is filed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Maikhanh Nguyen/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2176

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.  
Examiner's signature, if required